

No. 123 NOVEMBER 1978

Spearhead

20p

MORONS

AGAINST THE NAZIS

**You don't have
to look as revolting
as me to get to the
top of the SWP.**

**Read all about how
to infiltrate us on
page 13!**



Nationalist comment

WHAT WE THINK

on the month's news

Arms for the anti-White killers

Can Dr. David Owen, our abomination of a Foreign Secretary, really believe that he is fooling anybody when he says that the £19 million worth of military equipment given to Zambia is not for the protection of terrorist bases there, but exclusively for the aerial defence of Lusaka? Whilst bombing Lusaka would certainly teach Zambia a well-deserved lesson for harbouring Joshua Nkomo's murderous thugs in the country, the fact remains that Rhodesia's raids have not extended to attacking Zambia's capital, nor is there the slightest suspicion that she would wish to do so. Her attacks have been confined to the terrorist bases themselves, and it is perfectly obvious that the airlift of British arms has been made in order to defend those bases from further attack. That such despicable treachery is possible from Dr. Owen comes as no surprise, and no further proof of his motives is needed than

the man's record of anti-White fawning at the feet of the Patriotic Front terrorist leaders.

Of course the criticism made by the Tories of the Zambian arms airlift was predictable. They were worried that the anti-aircraft missile equipment might be intended to protect the terrorists, but otherwise the giant dollop of £19 million worth of free military equipment to an African despotism hostile to Rhodesia did not worry them in the least — just so long as it was to be used by the Zambian armed forces. Pathetic!

It seems that Dr. Owen, however, made one miscalculation when he plundered the British taxpayer on behalf of the enemies of White Rhodesia. Supplying such sophisticated electronic air defence equipment to African Blacks is one thing, but being able to use it is quite another. The British government has now realised that simple Blacks cannot possibly operate these weapons without an army of White technicians being provided, so Dr. Owen is now sending over 650 civilian advisers to Zambia to help fire the missiles. So the British government is not merely backing the Black terrorists diplomatically; and not merely supplying them with military hardware. It is actually providing British experts to fire the missiles that are intended to shoot down White Rhodesians.

Can a British government really sink lower than that?

Combatting the media subversives

What is being referred to as "South Africa's greatest political scandal" has won headline attention in recent weeks. But what really lies behind all the sensational talk of "conspiracy to subvert the democratic process", slush funds and misappropriation of public money?

Very simply this. Like every nation of the Western world, South Africa suffers from a tightly controlled monopoly of the press in the hands of a few powerful media barons. Almost without exception the South African press, both English language and Afrikaans, is far-left liberal and viciously opposed to the Nationalist government and its apartheid policy. It seems that, in order to counter this situation, pro-Government supporters first attempted to buy a newspaper chain, and Afrikaans millionaire Louis Luyt made a bid for South African Associated Newspapers. When this bid failed, certain Government officials, principally Dr. Connie Mulder, Minister of Information, and Hendrik van den Bergh, chief of the security service, channelled public funds into a new and highly successful pro-Nationalist newspaper, *The Citizen*.

It is sincerely to be hoped that those involved in this so-called 'scandal' state plainly and openly that their actions were those of patriotic men determined to break the power of a poisonously subversive and anti-national press monopoly. They are to be praised rather than censured. Indeed Dr. Mulder has already said that his actions were guided by "the interests of South Africa. When the survival of my country is at stake, no rules count." We think that if such action had been taken earlier, the slow, corrosive drip of years of left-wing press propaganda in South Africa might never have taken its toll. Certainly if early action had been taken in Rhodesia to subordinate the liberal press, which ceaselessly sought to undermine the position of the White man, then the history of that nation might have been very different. Throughout the White Western world it is the media which, above all else, has been responsible for spreading the disease of liberalism. The influence of this all-powerful propaganda machine has to be combatted — by fair means or foul, but combatted it must be.

Begin wants peace . . . this piece and that piece

Recently *Spearhead* drew attention to the remarkable resemblance in diplomatic aplomb between Israeli Prime Minister Menachem Begin and the late Adolf Hitler. The only difference is that while the former German leader was reviled as a plotter of aggressive war, Mr. Begin is awarded the Nobel peace prize.

The similarity to which we drew attention concerned the promises which Mr. Begin makes, and then promptly breaks with breathtaking affrontery. When reminded by President Carter not long ago of his promise not to build further settlements on the occupied West Bank, a promise which Israel was in the process of breaking, Mr. Begin replied — very much in the style of the late Führer — that he understood that this undertaking applied only until the end of the previous year.

Having just been awarded the Nobel

S P E A R H E A D

No. 123 NOVEMBER 1978

Excalibur House, 73 Gt. Eastern Street, London EC2 (Tel. 01-739 2187)

Editor: Richard Verrall Contributing Editor: Martin Webster

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its founder and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from potential advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of each month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication which should normally be not longer than 1,250 words and typed in double-spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgment require such alteration to be made.

Those wishing to re-print *Spearhead* articles must first gain the permission of the Editor and undertake to include with the re-printed matter the author's name and the name and address of *Spearhead*.

peace prize, Mr. Begin is at it again. After promising President Carter at the Camp David summit that there would be no "new" settlements established in the occupied territory, Begin is already preparing to break this promise by "strengthening" existing settlements. Rebuked by President Carter, his reply was that he understood the undertaking applied only for the period of the negotiations, and not for the five year period which President Carter understood it to mean. One would not be at all surprised if Begin followed a remark like that with the assurance: "I have no further territorial demands to make on the West Bank."

Even more strikingly similar to the late German leader's style of doing things was Mr. Begin's threat to move his own office into East Jerusalem — and to hell with President Carter, Saudi Arabia and everyone else. No doubt his patience was exhausted. Of course all this brilliant exposition of political nerve, done in the purest of national self-interest, is to be thoroughly admired — particularly when it is so obviously successful and leaves everyone else running around in diplomatic circles. The only question is: Why is it that Israel is the only country allowed to do it and get away with it with little or no criticism?

The award of the Nobel peace prize to Begin — a former terrorist whose country has steadily expanded its borders at the expense of the Palestinians for thirty years and who is now busy 'settling' the occupied territory — is certainly a sick joke. The obvious candidate for the prize was President Sadat, but that would never have done. As soon as Israel learned of Sadat's nomination, Israel herself nominated Begin! And of course the Nobel Foundation, doubtless fearful of the taint of "anti-Semitism", compromised by the unprecedented expedient of awarding a shared prize. Could anything else have shown up the Nobel peace prize more effectively for the phoney racket it is?

'War crimes' farce

Many people will recognise the photograph shown on this page. It depicts the South Vietnamese national police chief, General Nguyen Loan, drawing his revolver

and executing a Communist Viet Cong soldier in the street during the 1968 Tet offensive.

A ruthless act, you may think. But that is war, and in wars you shoot the enemy and sometimes waive the rules. Typically, however, with the inverted standards of morality so prevalent today, it has been decided that General Loan committed a "war crime". Like other South Vietnamese officials, he now lives in exile in the United States, where the Immigration and Naturalisation Service are planning to deport him because of the shooting! They say that he should have been tried in Vietnam for the execution in a Saigon street.

To what insane conclusion will the logic of this interpretation of "war crimes" take us? General Loan was a soldier, and summarily executed a member of the Viet Cong at the height of an enemy offensive against his country. Will we therefore end up trying every soldier who ever shot an enemy combatant without asking too many questions? Or to take even more arbitrary killings, will we end up trying on "war crimes" charges any soldier who ever shot hostages (a 'crime' advocated in the British Manual of Irregular Warfare), or even pilots who dropped bombs that killed civilians? All this illustrates that once the Nuremberg principle of charging soldiers on the losing side with "war crimes" has been accepted, it opens the way for the most grotesque injustices.

A clue as to why General Loan has been singled out for this treatment was provided by former Vice President of South Vietnam, Marshal Ky, who said: "We recognise Loan as one of the most staunch anti-Communists that our country produced. Everything he did was for his country." Well, in our liberal age of course, anti-Communism practically amounts to a "war crime" in itself, and is it not an interesting fact of history that all those who have ever been arraigned on war crimes charges just happen to have been anti-Communists?

End of an era

Spain, we were told last month, embarked upon a new era of enlightenment with the passage in both Houses of the

Spanish Parliament of a new Constitution which "finally put an end to the Franco era" and formally made Spain a democracy. Symbolically though, while this great embarkation upon a new era was being made, army helicopters circled the building and thousands of police were mobilised to protect it from attacks by terrorists. During the reading of the bill in the Lower House, two Army officers were assassinated by Marxist Basque terrorists.

This contrasts most markedly with the supposed dark era of Franco's dictatorship, when Spain enjoyed unity, peace, order and prosperity for thirty post-war years, and of a kind which it is never likely to see again. Spain is now certain to enjoy all the blessings of democracy, from the erosion of national sovereignty (the bill introduces 'devolution') to licence and decadence, as well as unchecked Marxism and red terrorism. Indeed the minute that 'democracy' was in the air after Franco's death and the takeover of King Juan Carlos, terrorism and assassination were rife. Once communism scents the weakness and lack of will inherent in the liberal state, it will attack it viciously.

The Spanish Prime Minister, Senor Suarez, proclaimed confidently: "If the Constitution is approved, we shall be victors in the battle against fear, disunity, pessimism and violence." The truth is that, for Spain, liberal democracy is going to prove — not the victor over these things — but the harbinger of them.

Dreams of Utopia

The Rt. Rev. Colin Winter, who sounds as if he has escaped from Peter Simple's *Way of the World* column and is the deservedly exiled bishop of Damaraland, South West Africa, was reported last month as saying that he would rather live in Cuba than Britain. Attacking Mrs. Margaret Thatcher in an article in *Christian Action Journal* as the "Iron Lady of Right-Wing aggression" (!), he accused her of "calling us back to the old values of old-time religion, the birch, stiffer sentences, longer imprisonment, curbing workers' rights." Cuba, he said, "is a model of courage and determination to oppressed people."

The irony of all this trendy leftist twaddle is that if Rev. Winter took a good hard look at Cuba behind the scenes, he would not only find "oppressed people" and curbed workers' rights, but also stiff sentences and long terms of corrective imprisonment. It is only the liberal dupes of Marxism who actually believe in softy, 'progressive' social ideas, which are strictly Communist exports. What distinguishes real Communist societies from the imaginary ones invented by the likes of Rev. Winter is tough discipline, a lot of punishment, militarism, elitist education and all the other things hated by liberals, but precious little liberalism.



General Loan commits a "war crime" . . . shooting a Communist

Tories grovel for the Black vote

Candidates' bid to woo the Sikh community



Tim Brinton, Gravesend's Tory candidate addresses the meeting.

EVERY so often there appears in a national or local newspaper a single photograph which seems to encapsulate the full extent and sum total of our country's decadence, of its decline and humiliation under the rule of the old parties.

Such a photograph we reproduce here. It shows the Conservative party's prospective candidate for Gravesend, Mr. Tim Brinton, wearing Oriental headgear as he addresses local Sikhs at the town's Sikh Temple on the subject of why they should vote Conservative.

The truth behind this nauseating spectacle is even more nauseating than the spectacle itself. We all know that the Tory party, in its cynical bid for extra votes from the White majority, has embarked on a fraudulent campaign to convince the public that they are the "anti-immigration" party. But whilst "holding out the prospect of an end to immigration" (note, only the 'prospect') for the benefit of Whites, the Tory party has at the same time launched a high-powered and much less publicised campaign to win the Immigrant vote.


Hence the formation of the Anglo-West Indian Conservative Society and the Anglo-Asian Conservative Society, whose honorary President is of course Mrs. Margaret Thatcher, leader of the Tory party and MP for Barnet Finchley.

Now the constituency of Barnet Finchley has, like Mr. Brinton's seat at Gravesend, a substantial number of Asian voters whose support Mrs. Thatcher is very anxious to get. However what makes Mr. Brinton's seat and 58 other constituencies like it so important to the Tories is something rather special. As pointed out recently in *New Society*, there are 59 marginal constituencies including Gravesend which had a smaller majority than their Immigrant populations in both February and October 1974. The Tories won 29 of these seats in February, but only 16 of them in October. Thus 13 of Labour's 17 gains in October 1974 were made in constituencies where the Black population was greater than the electoral majority.

This then is the reason why Mrs. Thatcher, whilst deceiving the White British voter, is so desperately grovelling

for the Immigrant vote, and why Mr. Brinton is wearing this preposterous garb in Gravesend's Sikh Temple. Terrified of permanent opposition status because of the Black support for the Labour party, the Tories are determined to crawl on their hands and knees for a handful of votes. How much more pathetic and degenerate can the Tory party get? How much lower can the so-called "party of the British nation" sink? Gravesend is a Labour-held seat and one of the most marginal, and so obviously Mr. Brinton thinks that just that little bit extra grovelling to the Asians could land him a seat in Parliament at the next General Election. Disgusting, isn't it?

We say: Let the old parties continue in their squalid scramble for the alien vote. Meanwhile, the National Front — which is only interested in winning the support of White British voters — will become more and more identified as the true party of the British race and nation. And when the old parties wake up and realise that their survival again depends on those White British voters — it will be too late!



The scene at Gravesend's Sikh Temple as Tory candidate makes a desperate bid for those crucial Immigrant votes

LIFTING the lid off the 'Anti Nazi League', the new booklet published by NFN Press which exposes the Anti Nazi League, has been more successful in its effect than even I, its Editor, dared hope.

Its total unsqueamishness in stating that the formation of ANAL was a Zionist — not a Marxist — initiative (though an alliance of Labour and SWP Marxists manage the organisation) has provoked a variety of most interesting reactions.

The Times ran a story which concentrated on the allegation that ANAL was collaborating with the Labour Party on a massive leafletting operation come the General Election which would amount to a corrupt electoral practice (as defined in Election Law) of epic proportions.

But it was *The Guardian's* story which put the cat among the Zionist pigeons, with the headline:

"Attack on Anti-Nazi League claims it is Zionist-inspired — NF FALLS BACK ON THEORY OF A JEWISH PLOT."

No doubt Ms. Lindsay Mackie, the writer of the story, thought that her misrepresentation of the booklet as merely an "anti-semitic" diatribe would have the effect both of discrediting the booklet and of stampeding the leaders of the Zionist-Jewish community into ceasing their knocking campaign against ANAL.

MISCALCULATION

Ms. Mackie miscalculated.

The intended 'put down' effect of her review was destroyed by the very number of words she used to try and achieve it. A national newspaper does not allocate more than 800 words on a news page to review a booklet of no significance.

The misrepresentation of the contents of the booklet by reference to phrases, put in quotes, as "a Jewish conspiracy" (no such phrase was used in the booklet!) may have stirred support for the ANAL gang among some sections of Zionist-Jewry, but Mr. Martin Savitt and Dr. Jacob Gewirtz — respectively Chairman and Executive Director of the Defence Committee of the Board of Deputies of British Jews — were unmoved by this tactic.

The strategy of Savitt and Gewirtz is as follows:

First the Board of Deputies provided the behind-the-scenes impetus which led to the setting up of ANAL, and once set up the Board's sophisticated public relations machinery saw to it that ANAL was given plenty of boosts by the press and broadcasting media.

No previous purely Marxist pressure group has ever been given the instant, massive and generally sympathetic coverage that was accorded to ANAL from the moment it was inaugurated in November, 1977, and for ten months thereafter.

The purpose of ANAL, quite obviously, was to organise those individuals and organisations to "the Left" in British

MARTIN WEBSTER

Howls, wails and confusion in enemy camp greet *Lifting the Lid*

politics to campaign against the National Front in a co-ordinated way — especially during the coming General Election.

A.N.A.L.'S MOMENTUM

Having initiated the formation of ANAL, and having assisted it to pick up a very considerable head of steam it became not only possible but necessary for the Board of Deputies to distance itself from ANAL.

Possible because by September of this year ANAL had established enough momentum not to need any further help in its appointed task of campaigning against the NF at the next General Election.

Necessary because the Board of Deputies must maintain its capacity to function and exert influence regardless of whichever party is the Government. By late August/early September it seemed very likely that the General Election was to be called, and there was far from certainty that Labour would be returned to office. Thus the Board needed to distance itself from "the Left" and cultivate contact with "the Right".

The Board does not mind whether the Conservative Party or the Labour Party win the next General Election. It can operate just as well under either. The Board is not Conservative nor Labour. It is Zionist. The only intrusion which the Board wishes to make in the next General Election is to try and blight the campaign of the only anti-Zionist party in the field: the National Front.

Thus the Board has asked itself this question:

What would be better than just having the Labour Party and its Marxist allies dishing out tons of 'Anti Nazi League' anti-NF smear leaflets?

The answer is obvious:

Having Tory campaign workers dishing out tons of non-'Anti Nazi League' anti-NF smear leaflets as well!

Hence the content of the comments about ANAL made by Dr. Gewirtz when early in September he commenced the Board's public relations operation designed to distance the Board from ANAL:

MUSIC FOR TORY EARS

"The 'Anti Nazi League', though genuinely anti-Nazi, is a Marxist-dominated group which seeks to undermine the Capitalist free enterprise system which we cherish, and so we must oppose it." He knew that this song would be music to Tory ears!

As the Board's "anti-ANAL" campaign proceeded through the early weeks of September (and when expectations of an October election were high) the Board's local representatives began a campaign of contacting local Conservative Associations with a view to trying to induce them to involve themselves with the distribution of non-socialist anti-NF smear leaflets.

We are at present obtaining details of one particular overture by a Board representative to a Tory prospective candidate for a North London constituency, during which three different types of leaflet samples were displayed. We understand a report of the outcome of the meeting was given in person by the candidate to Lord Thorneycroft, Chairman of the Conservative Party. (More news of this soon!)

Naturally, Gewirtz and Savitt cannot spell out for their lesser brethren exactly what their cunning and cynical strategy for dealing with the NF is — and some of them are either too dim or too committed to supporting the political Left to figure it out for themselves.

ADMISSIONS

Thus the Editor of the *Jewish Chronicle* and numerous Zionist Jews have expressed opposition to the statements about the 'Anti Nazi League' expressed by the Board's leading officials. It was this movement of rank and file Zionist dissent from the Board's line that Ms. Mackie was trying to stimulate with her crudely propagandistic review in *The Guardian* of the new booklet *Lifting the lid off the 'Anti Nazi League'*.

The funny thing is that the row in Zionist circles over whether or not Savitt and Gewirtz are right has produced a mass of new evidence of Zionist-Jewry's involvement with the instigation and promotion of the Marxist-dominated ANAL gang.

In a most interesting article in *The Guardian* on November 3rd, David Leigh effectively contradicted the line taken by Ms. Mackie. Under the headline "Jewish split on NF" he revealed:

"Members of the Board of Deputies complain that the leaders of the ANL were not satisfied with their quiet support, backed by access to information and pictures gathered on the National Front by Jewish organisations. They say the ANL leaders wanted to solicit donations from Jewish communities in Britain and receive explicit endorsement from the Board of Deputies. They also wanted to exaggerate their own 'leading role'."

Well, well!

DEMOCRACY REDEFINED

LAST MONTH as an answer to the smear-mongers I pinpointed the basic differences between the nationalism of the National Front and that of the German Nazis. Of these differences the major political one is the fact that we stand for Democracy whereas they stood for Dictatorship.

Let no one be under any illusion, however, that our concept of Democracy is the same as that which prevails in contemporary Britain. What we have in Britain today is the advanced degeneration of Democracy which is called Liberalism, under which political leadership has abdicated and all ordered life has broken down, with the elected government having no effective sovereignty and the nation a battlefield on which several power-hungry sectional interests fight it out for their own selfish ends.

Two particular phenomena make themselves present in such a situation. In the first place the concepts of citizens' duty, of discipline, of morals and morality, of patriotism and national team spirit disappear. The nation, instead of being a cohesive force, becomes a rabble, unfit for any great tasks, either of economic construction, political creativeness or national defence. The nation cannot function and develop according to any providentially laid plan, as a business would function and develop under wise and far-sighted business leadership; politics is a daily rat-race for votes, seats and opinion-poll ratings. Political bosses do not determine events; they only react to them — and in the way calculated to further party interest and personal career. At popular level the age is distinguished by a lamentable absence of standards, of any higher code or tone, whether of pride, honour, workmanship or cultural ideal — because those in high office are incapable of setting and demanding standards; they either have none of their own in the first place, or they timidly succumb to the fashion of the times, which is that those at the top have no business to raise standards among those below.

THE PARADOX

At the same time, as if by a strange paradox, our liberalised society surrenders itself gradually to the very evil it purports most passionately to abhor: Dictatorship — not the open dictatorship of the strong man, the Hitler or Mussolini whom everyone can see and identify and thus hold responsible for everything that is done, but a much more insidious and dangerous dictatorship; that of almost anonymous personages exerting hidden political leverage by means of vast resources of finance; press lords and their paid scribblers who control public information and shape public opinion; broad-

casting mandarins who determine not only news and views but the ideological content of all popular entertainment, from historical 'docu-drama' through kitchen-sink comedy down to 'Top of the Pops'; pipsqueak trade union officials, who on the flimsiest of pretexts can call industrial stoppages that can bring the whole economy crashing down in flames.

None of these wielders of massive power is elected by anyone — except for the latter, who are usually elected by only a minority of the people whom they are supposed to represent. Yet it does not seem to occur to those who eulogise our 'liberal' society to object to them in the same way that they would object to an authoritarian head of state who exercises absolute power but who at least has the virtue of being seen to be the man in control and who thus can be called to account.

Lord Reith, head of the BBC in healthier days, once said that his favourite form of government was "despotism tempered by assassination". While he uttered these words with a twinkle in his eye, there was an element of seriousness in them. The visible despot, when all other forms of protest and redress have been exhausted or closed, can be disposed of by the simple method of bumping him off. The dictators who rule in our 'liberal' establishment are too many and, frequently, too unseen for this method to be practicable. It is a dictatorship of the system rather than of the man and, as with the clay pigeons on the shooting range, if one gets knocked down another will emerge to take his place.

WORST OF BOTH WORLDS

It is characteristic of Liberalism that in its quest for the ideal form of government and society it gets the worst of both worlds. In the forces which it brings to the surface and which occupy the vacuum of power left open by the absence of true political leadership it realises the worst evils of dictatorship but without the virtues of dictatorship; tyrannical rule by non-elected persons and bodies but no strong guiding hand in the national destiny; no firm guarantee of law and order, public safety and security; no known figure answerable for the national fortunes. At the same time it achieves social disintegration and chaos, national indiscipline and slovenliness and the unfettered progress of subversion and sedition, all evils potentially present in a democratic structure, but without any enhancement of real personal freedom in the fields essential to the growth of civilisation.

For who can say, in the evolution of the West over the past 50 years — an evolution towards ever greater extremes of

liberalisation — that Western Man has become freer? In the mass he has become decidedly less so. Only to limited categories of people has freedom become more plentiful. Foremost among these are criminals, subversives, state-sponsored idlers and corruptors of public morals.

FASCISM

Set against this background, a background which was clearly visible in the earlier part of the 20th Century, it is easy to understand the emergence of Fascism. Fascism was a revolt against the social disintegration endemic in the Liberal West; it was intended as a movement from chaos back to order, from irresponsibility back to duty, from selfishness back to community spirit, from party warfare back to national leadership. In the 1920s and 1930s it offered the only strong and dynamic alternative to Bolshevism — the ultimate profiteer and inheritor of liberal chaos.

In retrospect we can now see the faults and weaknesses of Fascism. The leaders that it raised up eventually led their countries to war and defeat — a course which might have been avoided had there been set some limit on their personal power, had they not been enabled to rise above the law and impose their policies without check. We can see in retrospect that the Fascist State did not necessarily become efficient — Hitler's wartime regime being at many levels riddled with inefficiency due to its lack of any firm institutionalised base and to its excessive dependence upon the patronage and favours of one single man, who in a large and complex modern state cannot see and do everything. We can see in retrospect that Fascism was not immune to corruption but, like Democracy, could produce personal empires of luxury and wealth sustained by public contribution and guarded by subservience to the ultimate source of power. We can see that Fascism, like Democracy, was capable of producing its subversives and its traitors, even though it had the virtue of confining their activities to the more difficult terrain of illegality.

But because Fascism was defeated and is dead and unlikely to return to the advanced Western nations it does not mean that we have solved the problems that gave rise to Fascism. Because Fascism has been rejected as an answer to the 20th Century disease of liberal decadence it does not mean that an alternative answer has been found. We are still confronted with man's eternal political dilemma: that of finding the correct formula that combines the ideals of Order and Freedom. Democracy in its liberal form has abandoned Order in its headlong pursuit of Freedom; Fascism in the urgent quest for

Order may well have gone too far in that quest and jeopardised Freedom. We may look at Liberal Democracy and Fascism as providing respectively thesis and anti-thesis. Our task now is to achieve *synthesis*.

ORDER AND FREEDOM: THE ESSENTIALS

We can best do this if we first ask ourselves what are the essentials of Order and of Freedom?

Surely the essential of Order is that we have a government which, once elected, **leads** the nation in the true sense of the word. That means that such government must be given the power of **action** to get done the things which its electors have voted for it to get done. It must never be placed in situations in which it is hampered in its ability to carry out its policies by the pressure of sectional interest groups which only represent a portion of the national community and whose interest may well be in conflict with that of the national community, nor must it be placed in situations in which its survival requires that it put short-term party considerations before broader and more fundamental national considerations. Government must serve the national interest and be empowered to act in the national interest, otherwise it is no sort of government at all. It cannot do these things if it is dependent on the favour of sectional interest groups; it must subordinate all such interest groups to its will.

Equally an essential of Order is that rights within society are placed in a balanced relationship to duties, that the first only exist as a condition of the second. Government must firmly uphold the law and defend society adequately against those who break the law. At the same time government cannot permit the rights of dissent, of criticism and of protest — all perfectly reasonable and proper rights in themselves — to extend to activities which menace the whole foundations of national security and survival. Free argument and debate should be about the **means** of best promoting the good of the nation, not about whether the nation should be preserved, or its good promoted, at all.

Finally, an essential of Order is that the nation, notwithstanding the individual and group rivalries that may exist within it, should close ranks and function as one at all points where its interests or survival may be threatened by outside forces. In this virtue no better example exists than that of the Jews.

What then of the essentials of Freedom? Surely they are that the ordinary hard-working, patriotic and law-abiding individual in society should be able to govern his own private life as he would wish, that he may be master in his own house, that he may exercise individual choice in both his work and his leisure, that he may enjoy privacy on his own property and, after he

has become of age, not be monitored by the State as if he were still a child, that he may decide in which company he wishes to mix and, if he runs a business, how he will administer that business and whom he will employ.

These things should extend to the rights to argue and debate public issues, to criticise the actions of government and, if that government ultimately forfeits confidence, to elect an alternative government. All these rights are of course quite worthless if the sole media of information and opinion on public issues are under the control of unelected persons who practise their own private censorship of news and views. In this case the facts necessary to exercise an informed and disinterested vote at elections are simply not available.

NOT IN CONFLICT

If we can agree that these essentials of Order, on the one hand, and Freedom, on the other hand, are as I have outlined them here, we can surely go on to agree that they are not, in their nature, irreconcilable or in conflict with one another. If Order and Freedom are in conflict today, it is because of the way such concepts are interpreted in the mind of Liberalism.

Liberalism, unhappily, does not see Freedom first and foremost in terms of the rights of the ordinary hard-working, patriotic and law-abiding individual as I have described them. On the contrary, it sees Freedom primarily in terms of the rights of powerful sectional interest groups, particularly moneyed ones, to engage in an unceasing free-for-all with one another and with the elected government and to erode the power of that government to the point where it is the servant, not of the nation and the people, but of whatever interest group currently happens to exert the greatest pull.

The other great freedom with which liberalism is obsessed is the freedom for every kind of anti-social element to run amok in society with the very minimum of restraint from the law. Let a girl terrorist who was associated with the Baader-Meinhof gang in Germany be wanted for extradition to that country and liberals everywhere will be squawking about the need to show 'compassion' to her. Let a few IRA men in captivity be roughed up a bit by Army or Police interrogators and we will be treated to a long and loud sermon about their 'rights'. Liberals of all party shades are currently making a big song and dance about the potentially draconian features of the Official Secrets Act under which three lefties are being prosecuted, yet such an Act is no more than the minimum precaution that a country needs to take to prevent itself being subverted by the agents of foreign powers.

Liberal ethics demand that a man should have the right to advocate the surrender of this country's sovereignty to a

supra-national government and to work actively to that end, to promote political doctrines the consequence of which are to destroy Britain's capacity for self-defence or to promote political demonstrations the obvious intention of which is to provoke breaches of the peace.

Real individual freedom — that is the freedom of the ordinary loyal and law-abiding citizen to go about his private business in peace — is today under threat primarily because of the disruptive activities of these minorities and of nationally divisive interest groups which at times seem to be, not subordinate to government, but above the government. Yet it is the rights and freedoms of these minorities and interest groups that appear to represent the most sacred obligation of Democracy.

OUR CALL

When in the National Front we call for strong government, it is not to monitor or regiment, least of all to oppress, the ordinary average individual; it is to give to government the power to carry out the policies for which the majority of the populace has elected it, and that involves the protection of the majority of individuals from the acts of the anti-social minority and from the power of sectional interest groups.

Indeed we see this as the first essential of true Democracy: that government should represent the majority will and be empowered to enact the policies for which the majority has voted.

As to the principle of Freedom itself, the greatest disservice that we can do to Freedom is to regard it as an end in itself, a priority above all others. Freedom used properly can be a **means** to the achievement of a better, nobler society. But whether Freedom is used properly depends very much on who is free to do what. The only freedom really worth defending is the freedom to do what is right.

The indictment of Fascism that generally prevails today is that it failed to strike the fine balance between Order and Freedom that is necessary to a healthy society, that in its striving for the former it damaged the latter.

Very well, but the quest for that balance still goes on, and if for one reason we are to reject Fascism as a means to it then for a different reason we must reject our present form of Democracy too. We must seek a new form of Democracy shorn of its liberal assumptions and tendencies. How in detail we shall achieve that form is something which in length and scope far exceeds the limits of this article. I hope that here I have given a picture of the framework of this debate and convinced the reader that our movement, in its searching for strong and effective government for Britain, does not wish to usher in tyranny.

This problem is the greatest one facing

Contd. on page 11

The Hypocrisy of Rhodesia's "multi-racialism"

PRECISELY why the Rhodesian Ministry of Information continues to send to *Spearhead* its nauseating 'For the Record' fact sheets, designed to show how wonderfully multi-racial Rhodesia is becoming, after everything we have written about such developments, remains a complete mystery to me.

Such propaganda is usually filed in my wastepaper basket without further ado, but last month I took the trouble to read the one entitled *Removal of Racial Discrimination in Rhodesia*. This was a summary of the proposals announced by the 'Transitional Government' of Ian Smith at a recent press conference to break down the racial barriers in Rhodesia; and perhaps what prompted me to read it was the information that Bishop Abel Muzorewa had declared at the conference: "I am so happy I could jump off the roof" — an appealing thought, I mused.

What this piece of propaganda revealed so transparently was the hypocrisy inherent in the creed of "conservatism", and that fundamental weakness which prevents conservatives from confessing their real belief in race. This syndrome was admirably described in a recent article in the American journal *Attack* and reprinted in *Spearhead*. Rooted in the philosophy of conservatives is that fatal flaw of cowardice; of conceding half the battle to the enemy in advance by denying that they are "racialists" at all and accepting the principle of racial equality. Conservatism, as distinct from racial nationalism, is the philosophy that says: "Of course we believe in racial equality and the right of the Blacks to Majority Rule. We just think that Whites are more fit and able to rule at the moment, for the benefit of all races." Of course, few conservatives believe such nonsense, but none has the courage to state plainly: "I know that Whites are superior to Blacks, that Whites only are fit to govern, and therefore I shall do everything to maintain White rule in my country." And it is the inability to say this, and fight for it, that inevitably must lead to the kind of defeat, under pressure of 'world opinion', which Rhodesia has experienced these last two years.

This cowardice and hypocrisy shines from the pages of the Rhodesian government pamphlet *Removal of Racial Discrimination in Rhodesia*. It is perfectly evident from the proposals that the White conservatives involved in drawing them up do not believe for one moment in the reality of racial equality. Whilst paying lip service to the great ideal of world liberalism, obvious efforts have been made to preserve rather than dismantle every institution based on

the recognition of race and racial differences. No White conservative disciple of Ian Smith would ever admit this, of course. And as for the Blacks, including the roof-jumping Abel Muzorewa, they are either too simple to realise this, or are so looking forward to strutting around in the trappings of power after Majority Rule that they couldn't care anyway.

Quoth the pamphlet on the subject of desegregation and residential qualifications: "All European residential areas would be open to all races subject to certain conditions. Among others were that the character and standards of the areas would be retained and maintained . . . Each property would be occupied by one family only."

In other words, whilst conceding the demand for desegregation, every effort has been made to ensure the preservation of those standards known to depend on the facts of race. "Racial integration," yes, say the conservatives, "so long as Blacks are not allowed to spoil the area with filth and squalor and overcrowding"! Pathetic! But then you see, conservatives do not have the courage to say: "We don't want racial integration because we don't want the filth and squalor and overcrowding which the Blacks will bring with them." That would be (horror of horrors!) 'racialism'.

Exactly the same applies to the proposals on the health system, where it seems "a dual system will continue" — in the interests of all races, of course. "No medical practitioner would be debarred from appointment solely on a racial basis. All would be on the criterion of merit," which is obviously another way of saying that the criterion is race.

To reassure those who might suspect that the health proposals are not exactly brimming with enthusiasm for the multi-racial ideal, the pamphlet stresses that "standards in neighbouring countries had been destroyed not because of multi-racialism in health institutions but because the health structure arising after independence provided no future for private medicine." A nice piece of cowardly conservative double-speak! In other words, standards did not decline because of multi-racialism, it was just that there was no private system run by White doctors for White people! The section on health concludes that all training facilities would be open to all races — where "practicable" and "feasible".

The opening remarks of the section dealing with education are something of a give-away, since they note that under the old system "examination results in both

Black and White education had been the best in the world." In that case, what point could there possibly be in introducing multi-racial education? Not surprisingly, we find that the new proposals establish as the various types of school "a high fee-paying school, which could be broadly equated to an existing European school, a low fee-paying school and a non fee-paying school. The latter on the whole would be in the tribal areas." Naturally, this isn't an attempt at racialism. Perish the thought!

Other proposals include attempts to prevent "large migration of rural people (sic) to urban areas" because, "while educational standards at all schools would be exactly the same", such migration tended to produce "enormous sociological and health problems." Furthermore, admission to high fee-paying schools "would be based on a strict zoning system. Only children of parents who owned or leased property which they occupied within the zoned area would have the right of admission." And finally, whilst "no person would be barred on the grounds of race alone" to any school, "the over-riding factor would be the retention of the identity of a particular community." Consequently, the committee "had decided that any community whether it was based on culture or language or for whatever reasons would have the right to establish and administer educational institutions of its own choice."

What all this amounts to, quite obviously, is the rather sordid attempt of people who believe in race — but who are too spineless and terrified of liberal opinion to openly declare for racist principles and a racist state — to preserve as much as they can of the standards of White civilisation whilst announcing to the world their conversion to belief in racial equality.

And what has this typical weakness and duplicity of conservatism achieved? Precisely nothing, because while these disguised attempts at preserving White standards within the framework of a multi-racial society can never be ultimately successful (any more than they can in Britain) — indeed they are doomed to failure after complete 'Majority Rule' — the hatred of world liberalism for Rhodesia has not abated one jot since her commitment to multi-racialism. And that, after all, was the whole purpose of the cowardly exercise in the first place. They should have known that this hatred will never abate until Joshua Nkomo is installed as Red Dictator.

In other words, the leadership of White Rhodesia should have stood steadfastly by its original racial nationalist principles, and proclaimed them to the world, rejecting out of hand the whole bogus concept of racial equality. Hated by the world they would have been, but they would have fought and held their country. Now, all that is left after the first, fatal concession of conservative leadership is not only the slow death of "multi-racialism" under Black Rule — but the onset of Black Marxist tyranny as well.

• THIRTY PIECES OF SILVER

Two very interesting reports were carried recently in the *New Zealand Herald*, one shortly after the other. The first report, carried on the 27th September, announced that New Zealand Prime Minister Mr. Muldoon had assured the United Nations of his country's intention to ratify two UN covenants on "human rights". He declared that New Zealand's "Human Rights Commission Act" had become law this year in conjunction with their Race Relations Act (yes, they have got one too) of 1974. These laws, he said, satisfied him that New Zealand was carrying out the orders of the United Nations. He also promised that his country would sign the UN Genocide Convention, the vicious 1948-style international law which seeks to ban all criticism of racial minorities.

Three days later, the *New Zealand Herald* carried the story reporting that Mr. Muldoon had been named chairman of the board of governors of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank. Such are the rewards of compliance. Those who have seen a connection between these two events have of course been accused of paranoid conspiracy delusions.

The significant thing about all this is that Mr. Muldoon made his political career with the reputation of being a 'conservative'. Indeed at one time he may even have genuinely held conservative ideals on race and nationhood. But such is the nature of Establishment politics, with its special road to advancement and its carefully balanced system of punishment and reward, that the likes of Mr. Muldoon are sucked into its vortex and emerge at the other end quite different men from when they went in.

• MUGGERS AHoy!

Exactly what happens when racial integration is enforced throughout White society is perfectly illustrated by the American armed forces, which are everywhere in a state of chaos since the influx of Blacks into all branches of the services.

Not long ago the great British warship HMS Ark Royal, now destined for the scrapyard, docked at the American naval base in Norfolk, Virginia. It was moored alongside the gigantic American carrier Nimitz, and despite the fact that the Nimitz is far more advanced in her nuclear power plant and computerised fighting controls, the Ark Royal was praised by Americans as exemplifying a standard of morale, discipline and tradition with which the US Navy simply could not compete. It seems that the Nimitz is a floating house of sheer chaos. They actually have **mugging patrols** on board ship to protect White sailors. The master-at-arms needs a bodyguard, and drug pushing, violence, knife fights and murders are a frequent occurrence on board. There are even no-go areas for White officers.

Not surprisingly, every day that the

IN BRIEF

Ark Royal was docked at Norfolk, it was swarmed over by admiring US navy men. As one officer put it: "If we could have your men and our ships we'd have the best damn navy in the world." This remark is a sorry reflection on the state of the Royal Navy's equipment, of course, but how long would that remark remain true if our racial integration fanatics have their way?

• SW (HALO) LTD.

Red penetration of the Churches has now reached such a level that left-wing 'Christian' tracts on the race issue are now being printed by the Socialist Workers' Party, vanguard of atheistic and violent Trotskyism!

A poisonous document entitled *Race relations: study notes on the Bible* has come into our hands. It is written by a trendy leftist twerp called the Revd. David Bronnert, who rejoices in the title of "Chairman of the Evangelical Race Relations Group", and is published by the Community and Race Relations Unit of the British Council of Churches. But behold! Seek for the imprint and ye shall find that it is printed by SW (Litho) Ltd., the printers of the Socialist Workers' Party, from their former address at 8 Cottons Gardens, London E2!

Of course, in purveying its evil message, the tract resorts to such obvious and childish lies as the statement: "Neither the Old or the New Testament advocates racial discrimination in marriage." But that is exactly what the Old Testament **does** advocate, in *Deuteronomy* 7:3 under the heading 'Communion with the nations forbidden'; in *Ezra* 9:12; in *Ezra* 10:11; and in *Isaiah* 13:14. For the benefit of the Revd. David Bronnert, in case he cannot easily lay his hands on his copy of the Old Testament amongst his volumes of Marx and Lenin, we quote from one of the above passages: "**Now therefore give not your daughters unto their sons, neither take their daughters unto your sons . . . that ye may be strong, and eat the good of the land, and leave it for an inheritance to your children for ever.**" (*Ezra* 9:12).

• JUSTICE BE DAMNED

The long arm of the law is now getting so long that it is no longer the law, but perjury and persecution. The United States government (whilst seeking *detente* with Communism, of course) is still busy rooting out alleged "Nazi war criminals" with the intention of revoking their citizenship and

deporting them.

In Fort Lauderdale, Florida recently, Feodor Fedorenko, aged 71, defeated such an attempt to revoke his citizenship and convict him of "gassing Jews at Treblinka". Six 'survivors' of Treblinka were flown to America to provide supposed eyewitness testimony against Fedorenko but, the *San Francisco Chronicle* reported, "**the judge doubted their credibility.**"

This recalls a story carried in the *Miami Herald* (July 7) as far back as 1964, when a 'witness' at the Auschwitz trial in Frankfurt, Rudolf Kauer, confessed that he had lied about seeing one of the defendants beating a girl into bloody pulp with a bull-whip. "**I lied,**" he told the judge. "**That was just a yarn going about the camp. I never saw it.**" Questioned about accusations of murder against another defendant, the witness said: "**He was harmless. I may have said something like that. But it wasn't true. Everything I said then is worthless.**" And questioned about whether he knew another defendant he had accused, Kauer replied: "**Yes, I knew him, but he also did nothing.**"

Since our 'free' mass media steadfastly refuses to comment on this kind of thing, it is left to *Spearhead* to ask: Just what purpose is served by digging up these old and dying men in order to punish them on the basis of outright lies, and when is anyone going to have the courage to say: Enough is enough?

• THE UNACCEPTABLE FACE OF CONSERVATISM



In case any IN BRIEF readers wanted to put a face to the exotic sounding firm of Saatchi & Saatchi, the swank advertising agency handling the Tory party's propaganda campaign, we reproduce here for your delectation a photograph of Mr. Maurice Saatchi, one half of the two brother partnership. We think you'll agree that in the features of this go-ahead young entrepreneur is the very essence of modern Conservatism.

THE BATTLEFRONT WIDENS

I AND OTHER NF Ulstermen travelled to Brick Lane recently for a Sunday morning examination of the benefits flowing from cultural exchanges in our new multi-racial environment. The day previously I had seen our North London members bravely and vigorously (and successfully) sell copies of *NF News* on the streets of Islington and Tottenham while groups of Communists and Blacks came so close to violent attack that at least two were arrested after scuffles. What struck me most profoundly was the similarity with the early days of the Ulster conflict in the 1968 period, shortly before large-scale violence broke out.

In 1968, Communist/Republican agitators initiated a campaign for "Civil Rights" for Roman Catholics. This campaign was almost completely spurious, but it succeeded in its intention of organising the Catholic minority in Ulster behind a Republican, communistic and subversive political/paramilitary machine, and in forcing the Loyalist Protestants to defend themselves and thus render them open to the charge of reactionary "fascism", ably assisted, of course, by our anti-patriotic news media.

The ground was thoroughly prepared by the Red agitators working within Republican areas, especially in the Catholic Social (drinking) clubs. Support was readily forthcoming from traditional Republican sources. The IRA was still a single entity around that time, and was dominated by a Marxist philosophy and Leninist tactics. The classic "Civil Rights" campaign was initiated, with hard-core Republicans pulling the strings while misguided liberals, Protestants as well as Catholics, gave the venture an aura of partial respectability. The Civil Rights marches themselves unleashed violence on a scale that only the oldest members of society could remember. A police vehicle was tipped into a canal by the Red Republicans, and this sort of incident provoked counter-demonstrations by horrified Loyalists. Many of these were led by the Rev. Ian Paisley.

The weak and decadent ruling Unionist Party was no match for the subversive tactics of the well organised Republicans. Small grievances were inflated to the proportions of repression and brutality. The Unionists made the fatal mistake of agreeing to these minor reforms before they had restored firm order to the Province, and this encouraged more rioting. The lesson which the rebels were quick to learn was that violence brings results. Like the coloured Immigrant, the Irish Republican sees concession as a sign of weakness. The Westminster Establishment will doubtless be just as slow as were the Unionists to appreciate this point.

The writer was a mere teenager when the conflict suddenly arrived out of the blue. Young people had been only vaguely aware of religious differences, and allegations that we Protestants were oppressing the Roman Catholic minority came as a shock and an insult. As the situation polarised, however, it became clear that, with few exceptions, the Protestant community was remaining loyal to the Crown and to the link with Great Britain, while the Catholics were manipulated into returning to the old cultural and religious ties with the Southern Irish. It should be remembered that the Irish Republic still claims the territory of the whole of Northern Ireland. This mini-imperialist *anschluss* philosophy is based on the traditional Republican theory that the Catholics are Gaels, native to Ireland, whereas Protestants are described as "Anglo-Saxon invaders". Needless to say, this is a gross over-simplification.

TAILOR-MADE TROUBLE

Thus old emotions and fears are stirred up to polarise the population and to create violent conflict. We must be quite clear in our minds that this situation will soon be happening in the cities of England and Scotland. Once again, Communist subversion will be prominent — and in the coloured Immigrant community there exists a tailor-made minority group of disgruntled,

and in many cases naturally violent, trouble-makers. Because these people are not mentally equipped for higher positions in a sophisticated North European economy, they blame the "bosses". They also blame "discrimination", and it is in this allegation that they may value the precedent set by the Republicans in Northern Ireland.

Despite the fact that Republicanism is blatantly subversive of the United Kingdom, Republicans have always been given full licence to spread their disruptive philosophy throughout Catholic areas of Ulster. Again and again they have failed in democratic elections to win any significant Protestant support and have therefore remained a permanent political minority. Indeed, a fair number of Catholics have not succumbed to their propaganda and a small but not insignificant proportion are still pro-British.

These facts are, however, a minor obstacle for clever Communist and Republican agitators whenever the governing powers have grown weak and decadent. These agitators seized on the minor anachronism that, in local council elections, the ratepayer franchise still applied, rather than the usual universal system. This was converted by the Red Republicans into a major grievance, and illegal marches and demonstrations were mounted, with the end result that we know only too well.

Already in Great Britain the Blacks and Asians have found a massive network of



DEMANDING THEIR 'CIVIL RIGHTS'
Communist clenched fist salute from East End Asians

Left and liberal elements only too delighted to mobilise in support of their spurious claims of racial discrimination. Although many Government bodies and local councils are openly pursuing a policy of "reverse discrimination", whereby non-Whites are given an advantage in application for jobs and houses, the "bleeding hearts" are still bleeding. They are ready and willing to be manipulated by the sinister people who have a vested personal and financial interest in preventing the re-emergence of White racial pride and consciousness, or of national unity and independence.

POLARISATION TAKING PLACE

The situation in 1978 seems to be one of an approaching racial storm. All the signs are there; small isolated incidents all over the country ranging from shotgun attacks in city streets to ugly incidents in factories. At work, and in social activities polarisation is taking place. Aligned with the Immigrants is the violent and politically organised Left.

As governments continue to favour minority groups, the genuine Britons are becoming increasingly frustrated about their reduction in status and their inability under present legislation to air their opinions. Not only has this country suffered an invasion, the first since that of William the Conqueror, but, as with most invasions, the invaders are given preferential treatment and the original inhabitants are paying, indirectly, for the subsidies received by the conquering minority.

The preposterous feature of the situation is that this subtle revolution was ordered and organised by people who are themselves, ostensibly at least, of our own race. The Ulster experience has given Loyalists a special understanding of the Rhodesian situation, for example, and a particular sympathy with the beleaguered Whites in that country. Once again hundreds of thousands of people of British racial origin and cultural background have been abandoned by a "British" government which should automatically have given them full support and unashamedly partisan military assistance. Instead, Westminster has, from the beginning, supported alien terrorist forces against our own kith and kin, or at best, treated these sub-human thugs as respectable negotiating factors.

In Rhodesia, as in Ulster, if the loyal forces strengthened by firm British support had been given the chance to exterminate the bestial subversives before they had the chance to establish themselves as popular "liberators", the rebel movement would have failed. The unwarranted credibility given the Black terrorists by Dr. Owen echoes the similar disgraceful appeasement demonstrated by William Whitelaw when, as Ulster Secretary, he played genial host to an IRA delegation in London. Instead of being shot as a traitor, he was made deputy leader of the Conservative Party. It is no coincidence



BLACKS EXPRESS THEIR 'GRIEVANCES' AT NOTTING HILL
Riot shields have already replaced the dustbin lids

that Rhodesia's imminent collapse approaches as a Whitehall Minister is finally allowed to meddle in her affairs.

The majority of people are largely apathetic towards politics. Even in Ulster there is a strong tendency to avoid necessarily drastic political change if a plausible "moderate" alternative can be found. It is only when violence and rapidly declining living standards begin to make themselves felt throughout a community, and exert a personal threat against each individual's complacent way of life, that the so-called "silent majority" rouses itself into a radical or even revolutionary mood.

Only recently have politicians begun to accept the real possibility that their short-sighted economic and social policies will produce a "backlash" effect which they will not be able to control.

PARAMILITARY NATURE

This year's Notting Hill "Carnival" has ended in the violence to which Londoners are growing accustomed. During the "peaceful" part of the proceedings, young Blacks were stirred into militancy by the nature of many of the processions. Several were of an obviously paramilitary nature; the "Race Today Renegades" were clad in combat uniform and brandished wooden replicas of automatic rifles. This was only one example of the militant air of the occasion, following the example of last year's Socialist Workers' Party float. This consisted of a tableau depicting Black "liberation fighters" proudly brandishing weapons over a subservient White "imperialist". The SWP stall under the Westway Flyover this year introduced a festive air to Left-wing politics — comrades were invited for the sum of 10p to throw missiles at wooden effigies of Adolf Hitler, John Tyndall and Martin Webster. What fun! Later targets included policemen, at least fifteen of whom were taken to hospital.

The lessons of Ulster — appeasement, escalating violence, exploitation of minority grievances — may provide to some extent a

basis for forecasting future trends on the mainland. Although there are obvious differences between the two areas, the similarities are too striking to be ignored.

I am convinced that the general arming of city police will come in the near future. There will be increased use of riot shields and tear gas, as well as more sophisticated riot control weapons.

It is quite possible that small, well-armed terrorist groups will come into existence, assisted by the IRA. It has already been demonstrated that English cities, especially London, are extremely vulnerable to urban guerilla warfare. The activities of Arab groups have brought the introduction of bullet-proof jackets to London police, just as these appeared over the uniform of the Royal Ulster Constabulary.

As our economic situation grows worse, as the unemployment queues grow longer, as inflation and speculation force prices higher and higher and as racial tension grows, violence and conflict will become an increasingly usual sight in London and Birmingham, just as in Belfast. The Establishment is clearly preparing for this, and by electoral jerrymandering, race relations legislation and physical repression, are trying to clamp down on freedom of protest against their continuing destruction of our country. We must also prepare for the holocaust which may be just around the corner.

DEMOCRACY REDEFINED

Contd. from page 7

civilisation. If we cannot approach it in an adult manner, civilisation is doomed. The adult approach will not permit the use of 'Nazi' and 'Fascist' as mere terms of emotion and abuse; it requires an objective study of the Nazi and Fascist experiments so that we may appreciate both their strengths and weaknesses, their successes and failures — not with the aim of copying Fascism but of enlarging the pool of human knowledge, experience and understanding out of which we may construct the society of the future.

BRIAN MOONEY

COMMON MARKET MENACE

THE AVERAGE VOTER votes, not on an ideological basis, but on the issues most likely to affect Number One. Predominant in the minds of housewives will be the issue of rising prices.

Common Market directives and "Green Pound" adjustments have upped the price of food by an estimated 12% more than we would have to pay outside the EEC, even with the "increase in world prices."

Recent proposals have included empowering the bureaucrats to fix prices, impose levies and selective import controls. A spokesman for the New Zealand meat producers stated that such proposals could practically double lamb prices to over £1 a pound (hardly Edward Heath's "cutting prices at a stroke").

Yet five weeks later the Commission was urging Britain to cut taxes on alcohol to help drink Europe's wine lake! This is an example of how the EEC works for European agriculture at the expense of our Commonwealth kith and kin.

Can we now expect New Zealand lamb to become a rarity as has New Zealand, Australian and Canadian cheddar?

Whereas the EEC is destroying our Commonwealth trade, it is quite impotent to stop the massive inroads that Japanese imports are making into both Britain and the other member countries. It is quite happy for Soviet and East European trawlers to fish in our waters, and for Communist fleets to undercut Western shipowners' cargo rates, but screams blue murder when a British company markets whisky at a "discriminatorily low" price at home.

Secretary of State at the Foreign Office Frank Judd complained at the import at "ridiculously uneconomic prices" of special steels, resulting in our special steels industry at Sheffield working at only one-third capacity. Rumours that East European steel is being re-exported to us from within the EEC are being investigated.

To comply with EEC rules, steel prices went up between 3 and 10% in June. No doubt this will affect our shipbuilding industry. The latest plans for "restructuring" Community shipbuilding involve a loss of 85,000 jobs and a 40% cut in capacity. So far, "rationalisation" of industries has meant arrangements to progressively reduce national self-sufficiency. It will be interesting to see how "rationalisation" in this area develops.

In January, EEC chiefs claimed that schemes to help the unemployed such as the



THE END OF BRITISH SOVEREIGNTY
Five years ago our flag is nailed at Brussels

Temporary Employment subsidy were "featherbedding" Britain's textile, clothing and footwear industries, and thus threatening rival European ones.

What about the heavily subsidised imports from the Far East and Portugal which are dumped here? In 1975 Britain contributed £16 million of the £100 million Community grant to "re-establish Portugal's economic health." Unbelievable isn't it? Membership is costing us over £1,000 million a year, and loans from the European Investment Bank since 1973 have also topped this figure. To cater for the needs of Greece, Spain and Portugal, an extra £650 million will have to be found! Large sums of our money are given to Third World countries on top of existing overseas aid.

This May, the Advertising Association protested at a draft Commission proposal which would regiment advertisers' codes of practice into a Continental pattern, despite widespread satisfaction with the present system.

EEC legislation now requires lorries to be fitted with "spy-in-the-cab" tachographs. To equip its 400,000 vehicles will cost our freight transport industry over £300 million. It was described by the General Secretary of the United Road Transport Union as "serving no useful purpose."

Supporters of the EEC will assert that bringing British and Continental Laws into line is in everyone's interest, but the truth is that the British way of life is being earmarked for destruction! The new Highway Code is loaded with EEC rules for motorists, and EEC directives are ordering metrication.

This is one issue that the NF could exploit more fully, as many people in this country will remember Decimalisation and the associated confusion and racketeering in 1971. Whereas some industries, largely the export ones, will be able to benefit by using

metric standards, the very cost of conversion will hit many businesses and push up prices.

Metrication addicts will argue that the changeover will be for the better as we are adopting a simpler system, but a Birmingham University survey earlier this year showed that children's academic performance did not improve by using metric measurements; in fact the reverse occurred.

Proof that the changeover is one of "Europeanisation" rather than anything else is the proposed adoption of the 24 hour clock. The true metricationist would be pressing for the very esoteric Kiloseconds and Megaseconds instead!

£60,000 a year Roy Jenkins said that the only remedy for rising unemployment within the Community was in faster progress towards economic and monetary union. It is hardly surprising that the EEC meddlers should be pressing for supra-national financial control, seeing as the very foundations of the EEC were laid by such "non-Europeans" as David Lilienthal (ECSC) and Bernard Baruch (EURATOM).

The Common Market menace hangs over our heads by courtesy of the old parties. To understand it is to understand the forces behind them and the wholesale decline of Britain over the last few generations. We must expose the whole corrupt structure and by doing so pave the way for the time when we will get Britain out.

Show the Flag

FLAGS, ROSETTES,
POLES ETC.

SEND STAMP FOR LIST

W. BROWN, 20 SUTTON WAY,
HESTON, MIDDXX, TW5 0JA

BY NOW someone at HQ should have the copy of the membership lists of the local SWP. I obtained the lists by infiltrating the SWP, and the ease with which I accomplished this suggests that a brief account may be of some use in briefing people about how to embark on a similar unsavoury but valuable course.

I asked local left-wingers where the best “socialist” pub was, and on my first visit to it I approached the nearest group of obvious degenerates. My story was vaguely true: I’d had some political experience abroad, and on returning to Britain I’d settled “where there aren’t any Nazis”, and that on moving to a city I was eager to act on the new-found opportunity to become involved with ANAL.

My pose being politically naive and sartorially inelegant, they had no hesitation in directing me to the ANAL table. A meeting was to take place an hour hence, so I stayed. In this time I found none of the weirdos had any inclination to question, probe or check my tale. They were all “socialists”, and so was I, of course, but I made a point of saying I didn’t like Maoists — trying to hint that that was the only limit to my naively “Broad Left” views. They were so dumb that on my pleading poverty they insisted on buying my drinks — all that night, and on all subsequent occasions! (This is a point perhaps worth considering!)

The meeting was a bore, enlivened mainly by the interest of about half the members in a new male face. Most of the business concerned small donations from local trade unions, organisation for the London ‘Carnival 2’ and replies/non-replies from councillors, churchmen etc.

I chose to sit next to a lad wearing a red enamel clenched-fist badge. He gave me details of the next meeting and timidly hinted at other “more political” meetings sometimes held in the same little room in the same pub.

Another SWP person asked for my name and address so that they could inform me of coming events. I had previously decided that it is necessary to use one’s own identity: close involvement with them would subject one to too many chances of being caught out using a false identity.

At the next ANAL meeting “Red Badge” gave me a brief telling-off about the need for a good background of “theoretical socialism”. At the third meeting he, looking guilty, invited me to an SWP gathering. Another feature of this third meeting was the strident demand from an identifiable faction (rather un-Anglo-Saxon in appearance . . .) that “a proper file be started up on local Front members and decisive action” taken. I thought it best to pre-empt this so shouted for it louder than any of the others.

The chairman politely suggested that I might like to start the file, and I, looking glum and defeated, accepted the invitation on the grounds that “no one else seems to be doing anything about it.” I then addressed the meeting briefly, telling them that as a

ALAIN BIRTLEY

How to infiltrate—and learn from—the Left

newcomer to the area I could only start by collating whatever information on Front members individuals present might already have; gave out my name and phone number; and bored everyone present to tears with my insistence that they shouldn’t forget this. Thus all that was ever heard of this proposal thereafter was a note in the ANAL bulletin.

Then I graduated to the SWP. The first meeting was a special one, with a guest speaker and all. About 80 present, compared with the usual 20–30. Half the ANAL faces were there, and all the memorable ones. Everyone attending had to put their name and address in the SWP visitors’ book. (At all these meetings, by the way, the plate gets passed, but a claim of poverty and obvious willingness to contribute in other ways obviates the need to donate. Buying *Socialist Worker*, however, is obligatory.)

At the close of the meeting I was asked whether I wanted to join. I claimed that my one reservation concerned their dues scheme, since I had no money to give them. It transpired that despite their membership cards, it is quite normal for poor people to pay little or nothing. So I joined.

SWP meetings turned out to be even sorrier affairs than ANAL. They have a hierarchical structure, and most of their time was wasted on bitching about the “authoritarianism” of their regional council, and motions to and reports from “Conference”.

Before long I volunteered under pressure to flog *Socialist Worker* in a shopping precinct. They sell on a shift basis. On my first day I sold 2 copies, one more than the lass before me, both to obvious supporters; thereafter I rested on my laurels and managed to never sell another one again. This paper-selling became a regular weekly thing, and I was meant to arrange a substitute if I couldn’t attend. By this stage most of them knew me by sight.

At my second SWP meeting it was announced that the current Secretary would be leaving the area soon, and nominations were called for a replacement. No one wanted the responsibility. I then told the Secretary (“Red Badge” in person) that I’d had some secretarial experience and would be willing to “help out for a little while if necessary”, and in his jubilation he promptly nominated me. A seconder was eventually found and I was unanimously elected. The idea was that I would be taught the job over the next few weeks.

There followed more boring meetings as above, and newspaper sales. I gradually learned that the job of an SWP secretary is not to answer mail, acknowledge donations, and so on, but to keep membership lists,

send out bulletins etc., and chair meetings. (The other key positions in such a branch are Treasurer — well worth becoming, and Dictator/Organiser. The latter position is filled from above.)

I began to make it seem that they were imposing on my enthusiasm, and suggested that my inexperience would necessitate sharing the secretarial role with someone else. A sucker being duly found, I withdrew into the role — official — of Membership Secretary, in charge of lists.

Shortly after receiving the lists they were returned, together with a brief note in which my resignation was tendered on personal grounds. Since I was undergoing a change of address at that moment, I have not heard from them since.

I did resign for personal reasons — but there was absolutely nothing to stop me staying on indefinitely as Membership Secretary. This would be valuable because an infiltrator in such a trusted, administrative and governing position could make himself into a super stumbling block simply by ensuring that no grass-roots initiatives were ever translated into action.

USEFUL TACTICS

The SWP have a few tricks that could well be adapted for NF use.

1. Apart from those who doggedly seek us out, the Front’s only source of recruitment is the letter box — certainly outside London, at any rate. The SWP solves this problem by having set up its own front — and recruiting — body, ANAL. Innocents progress to them via ANAL, and according to the local SWP that was the primary aim of establishing ANAL in the first place.

2. The real and theoretical organisational basis of the SWP is the **work place group** — rather than residentially-based groupings. The union is the focus of their activities. In this way, a small handful of Reds can cause a disproportionate amount of trouble.

3. The SWP equivalent of a leaflet is the “**Work Place Bulletin**”. The technique is this: when they have between one and a half dozen members in any given work place, they produce a bulletin which takes up specific work-place issues, no matter how small, and distorts them with a communist slant. Members, usually unemployed, whose faces would not be recognised at the amorphous work place, distribute these bulletins as the workers enter. This way there can be no come-back by fellow workers or management.

4. They regularly leaflet dole queues etc. Sometimes they get chased away, **but they always come back.**

WHEN THE 14 Knorrs — cargo carrying Longships — sailed up one of south west Greenland's craggy fjords in 986 A.D., little did this intrepid fleet's commander, Eric Redson, know that his and his followers' descendants would one day perish through liberal decadence. Brave and skilled at arms, these self-reliant farmer warriors landed in the vanguard of White settler adventurism which has built civilisation upon unfriendly soil for nine centuries. During our modern era, less than nine decades of sick liberal permissiveness have achieved what the non-White races could not: the moral, military and spiritual defeat of European culture.

In this short article I want to show why Greenland's thriving White community of between 6,000–10,000 died out and how much that community's complete disappearance from the face of the earth during the Middle Ages serves as a clear warning to us all today.


Having safely arrived, these eager settlers quickly dispersed to pre-arranged land stakes which clustered mainly around three mighty fjords — Osterby near the southern tip of Cape Farewell, the cooler Westerby to the north west and the smaller Evendale approximately half-way between the two. Although chill north winds and encroaching sea ice made winter conditions hard, long summer days in Greenland's lush meadowlands more than compensated so that the colony prospered and grew. News of this challenge in the Far North quickly spread from the Norse kingdoms of Dublin and York to the Viking mercenaries in Byzantium and Kiev. Farmers, sea-rovers, poets: all pledged solemnly to tame that land.

The rapid population growth that ensued put pressure on the island's sparse woodlands that were used for fuel, leaving nothing to build boats. During the critical month of August mean temperature falls short of 55°F. This, in addition to widespread pockets of acidic soil, made conditions unsuitable for a barley crop sufficiently large to satisfy the colony's growing demand. Unlike today barley was used for making bread prior to the 16th Century, so these tough pioneers set about making good their depleted stocks with typical strength of will.

DISCOVERED AMERICA

Far from their pleasant coastal strip, amid the frozen heights of Greenland's central plateau area, the mountains of north east Canada can be clearly seen. The distance between Canada and Greenland is a fraction of that from Greenland to Europe. (Anyone who says that Christopher Columbus was first to discover America is either dwelling in fantasy or they have vested family interests.) Columbus is known to have visited Iceland where, no doubt, he was told about land to the west. Recent Carbon-14 testing by eminent scientists proves conclusively that the remains of a farmhouse, complete with

ROD FARR



blacksmith workshop, found a L'anse Aux Meadows, Canada, dates back to circa 1015. This date corresponds with the *Vinland Saga* which relates that a Harold Karlson married Eric Redson's daughter before leading an expedition to "Vinland" (the present New England–Nova Scotia coastline). Plentiful supplies of cereal and timber could be obtained where two permanent settlements were established. Two centuries later that area was host to Eric Mortenson, Bishop of Greenland, as recorded in Papal documents now locked in the Vatican.

The early history of the Greenland colony is thus one of outward-going confidence and growth. For two hundred years it placed contingents on northern Europe's battle-fields, including Hastings and Clontarf. But as liberal decadence gnawed at the heart of this island's social fabric so its amazing energy output was to wane until 1410, when the last recorded Greenland cargo ship arrived in Norway, laden with American spruce.


The port authorities' handling documents for that vessel's historic voyage make interesting reading. Records reveal an astronomical docking- and turn-round charge levied specifically on Greenland shipping. A small footnote on the docking sheet written by a wharf clerk tells all posterity what had happened to the racial integrity of Greenland by this time. It states that the ship's crew is of "*Strange appearance, neither Norse nor Lapp.*" During the 13th Century Greenland's climate deteriorated, forcing hordes of migratory Eskimo tribes to move south where they found living conditions easier. Some of them obtained employment on European farms. Miscegenation was thus given its head.

Moreover in 1262 Greenland threw away its sovereignty to join the Pan-Norway

Federation, a trading area run by alien merchants. By way of return, Greenland was granted (in theory) guaranteed visits of provisions ships which rarely turned up under pressure from these aliens, for reasons that will soon become apparent. Among the harsh rules which Greenland allowed to have imposed upon herself was the trading monopoly of the Hanseatic League, permitting the colony to trade only with specific ports, i.e. League ports. The Hanseatic League, based on north European sea-ports, was run by ruthless aliens related to the same troublesome tribe which controlled the Pan-Norway Federation, of course! This mercantile plague of rats held certain monopolies and trading rights with Russia, a connection that has existed up to the present day. These trading rights included furs, leather, walrus ivory and tallow: the identical goods that were the bulk of Greenland's exports. At a stroke Greenland suffered the consequence of discarding sovereignty as traditional markets were closed down to it, so that the Hanseatic League alone should obtain benefits of short supply high demand. Knowing no bounds to their obsessional greed, the League offered loans at exorbitant interest making the already precarious existence in Greenland less tenable than ever. Lacking moral fibre and will to triumph, the death-wish of these Arctic half-breeds became more pronounced as new loans were raised to pay for the last. So unlike the fierce national pride of their Viking forefathers!

A critic of my argument so far might well say that although exhumation of gravesites in Greenland has indicated a certain degeneracy of bone structure among the remains, there is no evidence of miscegenation. My answer is simple. All excavation has taken place at Gardar

Cathedral and adjacent parish churches; the southernmost tip. As the climate deteriorated hordes of Eskimos, "Skraelings", swarmed from the Arctic north. Indeed after 1360 nothing was heard of the northernmost settlement, Westerby. As any community degenerates the first casualty is its religion. The Diocese of Gardar was closed down and its Bishop withdrawn, leaving the dwindling colony to find its own spiritual way. Westerby and Evendale soon reverted to paganism. The Cross became the Hammer of Thor. Those who died in the Christian faith were laid to rest in less than 24 inches of rock hard permafrost. Under such conditions looking for evidence of miscegenation amongst Greenland's churchyards, in the Cape Farewell area, is a waste of time.



Left: A modern Nordic type, and how Greenlanders might have been. Right: the half-breed product of intermixture with 'Skraelings': 'neither Norse nor Lapp'

RAIDING PARTIES

The same chill north winds that have goaded our race to the higher levels of creativity now exacted a terrible toll on this colony of pagan half-breeds. The huge fish shoals that have always existed in the Iceland Straits were well known to 16th Century fishermen from France and Quebec who were not averse to the occasional coastal raid. So why not include Osterby and what was left of Westerby and Evendale? That was the final straw — waiting, hoping, staring out to sea for that promised provisions ship, only to spot a raiding party. Ill-disciplined and lightly armed, these degenerate half-breeds must have been an easy prey. Burned out remains existing right up to Greenland's glacial plateau are grim reminders of stark thoroughness.

Did the few remaining Whites perish by the sword? If not where did they go? I have a theory that is not without substance. In 1360 the Whites of Westerby, rather than flee to Europe where the Black Plague was still rampant, cut their losses and, taking their half-breeds with them, set sail for "Markland" (the Labrador Coast). Together they landed in the Ungava Bay area of Canada as a self-destructive multi-racial growth. Failing to learn the lessons Greenland had so forcibly shown them, they continued their liberal death-wish by not only assimilating their own half-breeds but also the local populace. Today natives of Ungava Bay have a lighter coloured skin and blood group distribution quite unlike that of non-Whites, i.e. a high percentage of blood group A. Changes in blood group distribution, for any given community or race, can occur

only by assimilating "outsiders".

In 1576 Captain Frobisher, looking for the elusive North West passage, found Greenland's last half-breed naked and in the throes of death: a raid by full-blooded Skraelings. The half-breed's pocket knife was found lying in the blood-soaked snow, ground down to almost nothing. What a tragic end to a once proud nation.

Does something look familiar to readers about Greenland's tragic saga: immigration, assimilation, loss of sovereignty and finally usury? We in the National Front must keep an ever-watchful eye not to the horizon for empty promises but to ourselves for strong action. Not for us mass escape to other lands. This is our land, the land of our fathers and for our children's sake we must stand and fight until Great Britain is in British hands once more.

National Front News

Editor: Martin Webster

A broadsheet published monthly in support of the National Front. Subscriptions only for 5 copies or more. 12 issues of 5 copies £6.24.

Reduced rates for bulk supplies given on request. Send 15p for sample copy now.

SUPPORT NF IN SCOTLAND

The NF in Scotland needs your help to be able to fight an effective campaign in the General Election. Would Scottish members, and anyone else keen to see the NF well established in Scotland for the General Election, please give their financial support. Cheques, P.O.s should be made payable to "National Front" and crossed "Scotland", and sent to Box 6444, *Spearhead*, 73 Great Eastern Street, London EC2

CALLING CANDIDATES

Would those NF members who have not been selected by Branches or Groups of the party to stand as candidates in the next General Election, but who wish to be considered as candidates, please inform the National Elections Dept., Excalibur House, 73 Great Eastern Street, London EC2 in writing, supplying their personal details.

How to obtain **SPEARHEAD**

Spearhead is available from our office to those who wish to ensure obtaining copies for themselves every month and to those who wish to obtain quantities for redistribution.

Those wishing for copies for themselves each month should take out a subscription by filling in the form below and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the amount applicable.

NAME

ADDRESS

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick in box where applicable):—

British Isles: £3.56 ☐ Overseas unsealed surface mail: £3.80 ☐ Overseas sealed surface mail: £5.72 ☐


Unsealed air-mail Europe: £5.36 ☐ Unsealed air mail Canada, U.S.A., South America, Africa, Middle East: £5.66 ☐ Unsealed air-mail Australia, New Zealand, Far East: £6.38 ☐

Please note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is by international money order; if remittance is by cheque an additional charge of 25p applies, as our bankers require this as commission for the handling of all foreign cheques.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:—

10-19 copies: 16p ea.; 20-49 copies: 14p ea.; 50-99 copies: 12p ea.; 100-199 copies: 11p ea.; 200-499 copies: 9p ea.; 500 copies and over: 8p ea. (For advice on postal rates, please contact our office).

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to: Excalibur House, 73 Great Eastern Street, London E.C.2.



SIR: Could it be that the startling remark made by Mrs. Thatcher at the Conservative Party Conference that World War II was fought "against racialism," gives us a glimpse of the real purpose for which that war was engineered?

For if the result of the war has been the loss of our British Empire; the hounding of the white man everywhere; the imposition of "multiracialism" by force, made possible by the guilt complex induced by "Holocaust" propaganda; the placing of one race (not ours) in an impregnable position above all others, then unknowingly we were fighting for the destruction of our own race (the inevitable result of "anti-racialism").

H. P. MATTHEW, B.Arch., A.R.I.B.A.
Great Dunmow, Essex

SIR: If there is anything stranger than church leaders condemning the National Front it is when members of the National Front, who are not practising churchmen, advise the Church on the maintenance of its spiritual power and the temporal power which has never been a help to its mission.

It seems to me that if Mr. Reed-Herbert (August issue) believed in God, and the Church and the Devil too, he would not be surprised at anything, even the infiltration of the Church by Marxism.

From the Crucifixion onwards, the Church has survived much worse than the boast of a senior Communist Party member that they will use the Catholic hierarchy as their tool.

Patriotism, for a Christian, is intensified, strengthened and given another dimension because Faith sees, in the circumstances of life, the hand of God establishing our priorities and abiding loyalties. It is the developed interpretation of "honour thy father and thy mother."

Pride of race is good, but if used as a substitute for religion, it can become, not patriotism, but fanaticism. It is no part of the Church's mission to be tamely towed behind it.

Mr. Reed-Herbert must surely recognise that we Christians who support the National Front are not "the Church". As a practising Catholic and as a prospective Parliamentary

Candidate for the National Front I have not depended on encouragement from the clergy, but have appreciated the fair-mindedness and personal goodwill of many of them. Our best means of influencing these churchmen who condemn us is surely to demonstrate a

Faith at least as strong as theirs and by working wholeheartedly for our country in expectation of that intervention of God which alone can save us.

JOAN WHITE, Prospective NF
Parliamentary Candidate for Canterbury

LETTER OF THE MONTH

Spearhead publishes the best letter to the press on National Front policy every month. Send your cutting to us not later than the 15th of the previous month. You could win a £1 Nationalist Books voucher. This month's winner (below) was published in the *Derby Evening Telegraph*.

Mr Holborow of the ANL was reported as stating: "The National Front is not like any other party and should not be accorded the same status."

This, I submit, is a most remarkable assertion smacking of the very Nazi arrogance he claims to be combating. Some 70 years ago when the infant Labour Party struggled into the limelight, there were many among the dominant Liberal and Tory parties who regarded socialists as alien revolutionaries and criminal robbers, but nevertheless they were rightly accorded equal status as a political party and allowed to propagate their views via the ballot box.

This is what most of us understand by the word democracy and this is what the NF demands as its legitimate right.

Right

Surely if the NF's policies are as bad as the ANL contends then the public would long ago have condemned the infant NF to political oblivion.

The fact is — however unpalatable to its enemies — the NF gives voice to a growing weight of opinion in the nation at large and that opinion has as much right to exercise itself as does any other in a genuine democracy.

The thought that people of Mr Hain's and Mr Holborow's leanings should have the temerity seriously to put themselves forward as judges as to what should and what should not be regarded as politically

acceptable is frightening in the extreme and if accepted, would soon lead us to the sort of censorship and tyranny in which only left-wing political movements would be allowed to voice themselves.

The language used by the ANL on platforms, posters and placards is most revealing with reference to the NF; words like "smash," "crush" and "stamp out," etc figure prominently, yet ironically, the league accuses the NF of the cult of violence.

The absurdity of this is easily illustrated by the fact that whenever the NF holds a march or meeting, the ANL predictably lay on a counter activity in the hope of smearing the NF in the public mind with street thuggery.

Stalin

On almost every occasion, the vast number of those arrested by the police turn out to be extreme left-wing agitators attached to the league.

Fortunately Radar's article drew attention to the emotive insensitivity of ANL propaganda.

Never is there a reasoned attempt to analyse or counter NF policies in the traditional manner of British politics — instead we are treated to "pictures of women of the Warsaw ghetto before a Nazi firing squad."

Dramatic indeed, but staggeringly irrelevant. One might as well object to the Labour Party by distributing pictures of Stalin's police mass-murdering millions of his

own countryman.

Perhaps these people do not yet realise that National Socialism ended over 30 years ago. Communism however controls over half the world and its imported offshoot under a variety of pseudonyms is advancing daily here at home.

As it grows anything which threatens to expose and fight it is promptly denounced as fascist and Nazi, whether it be the police at Grunwick-type demonstrations or Margaret Thatcher for her extra mild hints that she might be thinking of stemming the immigration tide.

Most regrettably Radar's article failed to mention the significant fact that the Board of Deputies of British Jews, which did so much initially to found and finance the league — including its pop circuses — is now pulling out on account of the league's control by anti-Zionist SWP Marxists and their ultra-left affiliates.

Such alas is the Anti-Nazi League; its obsession with violence, its dictatorial arrogance and its anti-semitism would appear to suggest that it has itself become at last the very thing which it allegedly set out to oppose.

In truth, it is high time that the Anti-Nazi League abandoned altogether the hypocritical "anti" prefix and got on with the job where Hitler left off!

A. POLKEY,
NF East Midlands
regional secretary
9 High Pavement,
Nottingham.

Trouble shooting

A Siegfried from Blackburn

When John Kingsley Read, the "Gypsy Horse Dealer" — who led the January 1976 National Party breakaway from the NF — announced three months ago that his 'party' was disbanded and that he was abandoning his political destiny for love of a Blackburn striptease *artiste*, I thought that the saga of this man had ended on an entirely appropriate note of high farce.

Unfortunately, so neat and amusing an ending was not to be.

No sooner had the GHD proclaimed Blackburn's romance of the century than the lovely *artiste's* father stepped in and brought an abrupt end to the relationship.

Concurrent with this blow Manchester Crown Court began pressing even harder for settlement of the costs of the two Race Relations Act prosecutions he was involved in during 1975/76. (Remember his promises to "defy the Race Relations Board — from jail cell if necessary!"? Remember his abject apologies once he got to Court?)

In addition to these costs, the costs of the High Court action which he and his NP colleagues fought and lost against the current leadership of the NF fell due. When it comes to Costs, lawyers are as merciless as a stripper's Dad!

The Norns were obviously spinning for Kingsley Read a rope of fate containing enough dramatic elements to satisfy a latter-day Wagner!

Consider . . .

Our Siegfried has his bouncy Brünhilde snatched from his embrace by Father Wotan. Reeling from this shock he finds himself pursued by Fasold, Fafner & Co., two giants who haunt him day and night screaming "Costs!" (They even threaten to rip from his teeth the glinting gold that was once the delight of Rhinemaidens!)

With a Wagner as story-teller such a situation would obviously be a prelude to some magnificent and dignified act of courage by Siegfried. But J. Kingsley Read is the drafter of this story, so it leads to the total degradation of the hero.

Desperate to find the wherewithal to pay off Fasolt, Fafner & Co., Siegfried descends to Nibelheim and offers to sell the secrets of the warrior tribe of which he was once Chieftain to Alberich, the dwarf master of the goldsmiths.

In short, Kingsley Read has tried to help himself out of his present financial difficulties by trotting along to Fleet Street and offering to sell his story to any Sunday newspaper who will buy it for spot cash!

We know this is so because a researcher-cum-story assessor for the *Sunday*

Mirror telephoned to advise me of the fact. He repeated his comments to the NF Solicitors.

It seems that the *Sunday Mirror* decided that Read did not have enough political stature or personal credibility on which to hang an effective NF-bashing story. Everything Read had to say was either too out of date, too obviously inspired by malice and greed and/or unverifiable.

While the *Sunday Mirror* was unwilling to publish the malignant ravings of a dead-beat (Alberich has standards, already!) no doubt every bit of intelligence information that could possibly be culled from Read's revenge-warped, booze-addled brain was harvested by *Mirror*-men.

Where will be the last resting place of our Siegfried from Blackburn? The *News of the World*? The *Sunday People*? *Private Eye*? *Searchlight*? *World in Action*?

If none of these will help out, then I think that Martin Walker is honour bound to pass the hat around (and put a good few bob in it himself) to help an ex-Serviceman who's down on his luck.

Who would have thought from the fate of Kingsley Read, the leader of the splinter group, that the main purpose of "Populism" was to get free of the "bad images" of Messrs. Tyndall and Webster and, thereby, win fame, fortune and *respectability*!

Postscript to ANAL's Brixton 'Carnival' Flop

London Borough of Lambeth have a right to feel a sense of outrage following the Anti Nazi League's 'Carnival 2' held in Brockwell Park, Brixton, on 24th September.

Brockwell Park belongs to the people of Lambeth. It is maintained out of their rates. The park was loaned free of charge to the Labour/SWP Marxist clique who run ANAL for their 'Carnival 2'. Not only that, Lambeth Council gave £6,000 of Lambeth ratepayers' money to the ANAL Marxists to enable them to lay on such facilities as extra public lavatories (plus, I suppose, staff to show the average ANAL supporter how to use such contrivances).

The Sunday peace of residents for more than a mile around the park was disturbed as a result of the high amplification of the low quality rock music provided during the 'concert'. Further sums of ratepayers' money had to be expended the following week clearing up the ocean of trash and filth left behind by the ANAL scum.

None of this expenditure of public money achieved the prior approval of a full meeting of Lambeth Council. The cash was

pushed ANAL's way by the leader of the majority Labour Group Cllr. Ted Knight. He is a Marxist and an Official Sponsor of ANAL.

According to the *South London Press* of 27th October, only three Tory Councillors protested. One, Cllr. Patricia Jenkyns reported that she had received "hundreds of complaints from local residents concerning the noise and the litter". Another, Cllr. Brian Udell, said he did not go to the park "because I feared for my safety". And Cllr. Graham Pycock stated:

"The Anti Nazi League cannot be described as anything other than a political organisation. The National Front is also a political party and should be given the same facilities as the Anti Nazi League."

This last remark drew a furious riposte from Cllr. Peter Cary. He said:

"I don't consider the Anti Nazi League to be a political activity. I think it's more important that the multi-racial society is preserved so that the NF in Lambeth has no place. I have little time for the Socialist Workers' Party, but given the choice, it would be the neck of the NF I would break."

Cllr. Cary, just in case you didn't guess, is leader of the Conservative Party group on Lambeth Council!

This cowardly creep and the Tory Chief Whip, Cllr. Hugh Chambers, absented themselves during a subsequent Council meeting when some of their Tory colleagues voted to try and delay the formation of a Lambeth Council "Race Relations Unit", whose function will be to spy on the Council's White workers and officials, and "root out racialism".

EXCALIBUR TIES A Christmas Thought?

Excalibur, sword of King Arthur and symbol of the inalienable right of the British people to sole possession of their own country, is the symbol of the Excalibur Club.

Top quality woven ties in three colours
£3.85 + 15p p&p

We could have imported a cheaper article, but we insisted they were woven in Lancashire mills by British workers. Buy now while stocks last.
NF members only.

Cheques, P.O.s to Benjuya Ltd., 73 Great Eastern Street, London EC2

NF BRASS BUCKLES

Large, polished National Front Brass Buckles for sale. Look smart on any 1½" belt.

Only £2 each plus 30p postage.

A limited number of small black leather belts available (30") complete with Brass Buckle at £5.50 each including postage.

Rush your order NOW while stocks last to:—
Mr. N. F. Hedger

64 Berrycoombe Vale, Bodmin, Cornwall

Anti-Nazi League thugs riot as JOHN TYNDALL BEGINS PRE-ELECTION SPEAKING TOUR



'Anti-Nazi League' demonstrators surge forward to attack NF supporters entering the meeting

Hemel Hempstead in Hertfordshire was the scene for the beginning of a series of rallies addressed by NF Chairman John Tyndall throughout the country between now and the General Election. Screaming mobs of 'Anti-Nazi League' hooligans failed in their avowed aim to stop the meeting at the town's Pavilion.

On the platform with John Tyndall was Hemel Hempstead NF's newly selected prospective Parliamentary candidate Terry Walters, whose vote is certain to lose the marginal seat for extreme left-wing Labour MP Robin Corbett. Mr. Walters promised his audience that he would send Mr. Corbett "into orbit".


John Tyndall told the meeting that the National Front was the last line of defence against the systematic and wilful murder of our country by enemies within. Both Labour and Conservative shared the guilt equally for the appalling betrayal of Britain, as their complicity in creating the Marxist concept of a multi-racial society proved.

'Anti-Nazi League' thugs had attacked a group of pro-National Front youths and girls on the way to the meeting. Police had earlier taken offensive weapons from coaches of ANAL demonstrators entering the town.

Reviewers are raving about...

LIFTING THE LID OFF THE ANTI-NAZI LEAGUE

- "A substantial pamphlet"
Lindsay Mackie
The Guardian
- "Horrific... poisonous"
Martin Linton
'Scarlet Standard' column
Labour Weekly
- "A repulsive pamphlet... a Nazi lie"
John Rose
Socialist Worker
- "I am taking legal advice"
Martin Savitt, Chairman,
Defence Committee of the Board
of Deputies of British Jews
- "Clearly defamatory"
Goldberg Blackburn
Solicitors for Colin Barnett
Secy. N.W. Council T.U.C.
N.W. Regional Sec. ANAL
- "An anti-Semitic outburst"
Jenny Frazer
Jewish Chronicle
- "An exact copy of the Julius Streicher technique"
Ian Mikardo, MP
- "A series of untruths and half truths... a gravely defamatory article."
Siefert, Sedley & Co.
Solicitors for ANAL Press Spokesman
Peter Hain
- "The charge is totally spurious"
Derek Jameson
Editor, Daily Express



RATES

- 1 copy: 20p + 8p p&p
2 to 9 copies: 20p each + p&p @ 5p per copy
10 copies: £1.60 + 60p p&p
20 copies: £2.80 + 90p p&p
50 copies: £6.00 + £1.20 p&p
100 copies: £11.00 + £1.40 p&p
200 copies: £18.00 + £1.70 p&p
500 copies: £40.00 + carriage charge

Order from: Excalibur House, 73 Great Eastern Street, London EC2.

YOU MUST HAVE A SUPPLY OF THIS BOOKLET!

Order your supply now before the next libel writ is issued. Re-distribute to your friends and workmates. 20p per copy.

MARTIN WEBSTER AND HELENA STEVEN TO BE PROSECUTED UNDER RACE ACT



MARTIN WEBSTER

NF National Activities Organiser Martin Webster is to be prosecuted under the new, toughened-up Race Relations Act for publishing and editing two editions of the party newspaper, *National Front News*. The Attorney-General Sam Silkin has authorised a prosecution after complaints from a Mr. Bindman and a Mr. Deutsch, two "members of the public", against issues 13 and 14 of *NF News*.

At the same time, Mrs. Helena Steven, the brave NF candidate in the Brixton Parliamentary by-election, as well as her election agent, Mr. Desmond Fenwick, are also to be prosecuted under the Race Relations Act for the leaflet which Mrs. Steven distributed during the campaign. The leaflet squarely laid the blame for the muggings of elderly White women in the area on Blacks, and quoted Police statistics in support of her statement.

Clearly, tremendous pressure has built up on the Attorney-General to take decisive action against the National Front before the General Election. Up until now the Establishment has not risked prosecuting the National Front, restricting the use of its tyrannous legislation to less prominent patriots, and even these have failed. Now, with the passage of the new "escape proof" Act, the testing time has come for the National Front. Both Martin Webster and Helena Steven will opt for trial by jury and use their prosecutions to demonstrate the way in which British freedom of speech is being crushed out of existence by totalitarian Race Relations legislation.

Meanwhile, Martin Webster is also being sued for 'libel' by Peter Hain, for describing details of his past in the booklet *Lifting the Lid Off the Anti Nazi League*, of which Mr. Webster is editor. Buy your copy of this booklet to find out what Hain is so afraid of — and do it while stocks last!

NF

answers

Welsh

'Nationalist'

windbag

NF tells Dafydd, 'Wales for ever'

"BRITAIN TOGETHER — Wales forever" is the message of a statement issued by the Swansea branch of the National Front in reply to allegations made last week by Plaid Cymru MP, Mr. Dafydd Elis Thomas, that the Front opposed the Welsh language.

Mr. Thomas, who was speaking at a "rally against racism" meeting in Carmarthen organised by the local branch of the Anti-Nazi League, had also said that the Front opposed "the right of Welsh people to have a say in the running of their own affairs."

The Front statement said: "In answer to the allegation by Mr. Dafydd Ellis Thomas, MP, that the NF is anti-Welsh and anti-Welsh language, we utterly reject his ill-informed outburst and, as a result, felt moved to send him the following telegram: Front Genedlaethol am byth (National Front forever)."

"For Mr. Thomas' information," the statement continues, "the recently-formed Aberystwyth NF group conducts most of its meetings entirely in Welsh. He is obviously afraid that the NF message is getting across to the people of Wales and is thus trying to portray us as purely an English movement which is, of course, utter nonsense."

"We say," the statement concluded, "Britain together—Wales forever."

EXCALIBUR HOUSE APPEAL

Your help is urgently needed now to complete the work on Excalibur House. There is still major interior refurbishing to be done to bring Excalibur House to the level of efficiency that you, the members and supporters of the National Front, require. Please help as generously as you can. We need to raise £16,000, so every contribution counts.

EXCALIBUR HOUSE APPEAL

To: The Hon. Treasurer

I read John Tyndall's appeal for financial contributions towards the work on Excalibur House in last month's issue, and I wish to help as much as I can. I realise that the purchase of Excalibur House is the most important step forward in the party's history and enclose a donation so that the remaining work can be completed as quickly as possible.

Name

Address

I enclose a donation of £ p and will try to make further donations whenever I can.

Please make cheques, P.O.s payable to National Front, and cross them 'Building Fund', and send to Excalibur House, 73 Great Eastern Street, London E.C.2.

Conservation — A Nationalist Viewpoint

A POPULAR cause of the seventies has been 'conservation'. Groups devoted to preserving forms of animal life, e.g. 'Save the Whale', groups devoted to preserving areas of natural beauty and groups devoted to the preservation of inanimate objects such as ancient buildings are to be found in abundance the length and breadth of Britain.

Genuine British Nationalists must of course have a deep rooted interest in the preservation of some particularly picturesque area of rural England, for example, or in the preservation of some interesting ancient monument in Wales. If our homeland were to be turned into an industrial slag-heap, or if our people were denied the visual means of responding to the achievements of their ancestors, then the spiritual soul of the British people would slowly perish.

The mysterious aspect of 'conservation' seems to be that if one joins a society to preserve a tree one is lauded as a model citizen, but if one dares to join a society for the preservation of the British people then one is at the least vilified and more often than not subjected to physical or financial intimidation.

The obvious reason for this would seem to be that all the thinking and caring people who join the type of groups I have mentioned consider the preservation of even inanimate objects more important than the continued existence of an entire human species (for the British people are only an endangered part of an endangered race). Surely, though, even those blinded by the mental weakness of liberalism or the crass materialism of socialism cannot have relegated human beings to such an unimportant position in their 'New Jerusalem'.

However, still having some faith in my fellow countrymen, even the brainwashed ones, I would suggest another reason. Those

who at present control our nation's destiny (and I don't refer to the half-wits, publicity seeking buffoons and lackeys of financial speculation that infest the House of Parliament) are aware that idealism is not yet dead amongst the Nation's youth and that there are still people capable of sensing that somehow their country seems to be taking a course for disaster.

Those in power obviously believe that if all efforts can be channelled into approved forms of 'conservation', then this idealistic youth can be intellectually pacified, the doubters can be reassured and all is well. The tragedy is that after decades of liberalism so few can see even the most blatant examples of establishment 'doublethink'. Those who are at present controlling our nation know that without the British peoples, that mixture of Teuton and Gael that fused so successfully, there can never be a **revival of the British nation**. If we are to think of the nation only as something held together by a spiritual bond of achievement, culture, history and religion, then we have ceased to be a nation, but whilst the racial stock persists then we can yet save ourselves from those who consider us soulless cattle.

The present popularity of conservation is for us a good thing, for we all agree that our children must have clean air, open spaces and all the other factors necessary for a well balanced and healthy existence. We only differ in believing that our children have the **right to exist**.

Probably everyone who reads this article knows someone who is a member or supporter of some type of conservation society. Well here is your chance — with this one issue alone — to help those people unlock the closed doors of their mental prisons. Remember, once someone is helped to see the really fraudulent nature of our

present society, then that person starts to enquire. This can lead to the examination of unpleasant 'conspiracy theories', so much derided but never logically denied. And once enough of our fellow countrymen even suspect that they have been brainwashed then no amount of smears or scares can stop them embarking on the ultimate 'conservation' task — a **rebirth of the British nation**.

Our magazine, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

SPEARHEAD FUND

Money over and above normal income from sales is needed to build up **SPEARHEAD**.

Your help would be greatly appreciated. Please make out your postal orders or cheques to Spearhead, and send them to: **Excalibur House, 73 Great Eastern Street, London E.C.2.**

THANK YOU!

Norwich National Front would like to thank all *Spearhead* readers who contributed towards easing the fines and costs for their three Young NF members.

SPLENDID PROFITS FOR BRANCH FUNDS

Webb Ivory Christmas Cards & Gift Catalogues
Address & Details from
C. Williams, 118 Clapham Rd., London SW9 0JU
Tel. 735 4941
Send Today — Christmas is not Far Away

ANTIQUARIAN BOOKS

An excellent investment. Send your requirements to: A. Elder, Flat 1, Gannet House, 6 Hartington Place, Eastbourne, Sussex.

BRITISH NEWS

The independent news magazine that supports the National Front. Annual subscription: £2.00. Overseas: £3.00. 10p per copy plus post. 10 copies 80p post free. 20 copies £1.50 post free. Sells well at Branch meetings. Write to: S. Gaunt, 5 Stonecliffe Close, Farnley, Leeds 12.

Find out about the National Front

Send 20p for information pack.

Name

Address

To: NATIONAL FRONT
EXCALIBUR HOUSE
73 GREAT EASTERN STREET
LONDON E.C.2.
(Tel. 01-739 2187)

I enclose